

Call to Order:

Mr. Erbeldinger

Pledge of Allegiance:

Mayor Porco

Roll Call:

Mr. Burleigh - Present
Ms. DeMarco – Present
Mr. Kiley – Present
Mr. Lawrence – Absent
Ms. Sowiski – Present
Mr. Tomasic – Present

Mr. Erbeldinger - Present
Mayor Porco - Present
Janet Sullivan, Tax Collector - Absent
Stephen Korbel, Solicitor – Present
David Gilliland, Engineer – Present
Steven Morus, Manager – Present

President's Report:

Mr. Erbeldinger

Mr. Erbeldinger noted that a grant for Koch Park and the dog park has been approved but we have no details yet

He mentioned that the Ed Bunyan biathlon will continue with the cooperation of the school district.

Executive sessions held during May 3 and May 10 committee meetings to discuss contract negotiations. There will be another this evening for the same reason.

Mayor's Report:

Mayor Porco

He noted attendance with Ms. DeMarco at the Woodland Hills Academy march against gun violence and discussed the event and the optimism it created about the community.

The Mayor noted the celebration of Arbor Day on April 29. Ms. DeMarco and members of Tree and Shrub Committee were also in attendance.. He thanked Carl's Tree Service for a demonstration and Mr. Gilliland for a presentation on stormwater. He thanked the East Catholic fifth graders who also attended. He thanked Chief Williams, Mr. Theilacker, Mr. Branzel, and Mr. Morus for their help in setting up.

He attended Solarize Allegheny on May 16 at the rec center and thanked Ms. DeMarco for organizing the event on solar power installation in the borough.

He noted the upcoming Memorial Day service at 11:00 a.m. on Monday, May 30, organized by the Forest Hills Lions Club.

Mr. Erbedinger also noted the Farmer's Market starts on June 3.

The Mayor and Council President presented the first proclamation:

Proclamation: honoring arborist Ted Gilbert on his retirement from service to the Borough of Forest Hills (Mayor & Mr. Erbedinger)

The Mayor presented the following proclamation accompanied by a presentation by the club.

Proclamation: recognizing the dedication of the students and moderators of the Woodland Hills Intermediate Science Club (Mayoral Proclamation)

Tax Collector's Report:

Ms. Sullivan

No report

Chief of Police Report:

Chief Williams

Chief Williams noted that the department is involved in the buckle up program to encourage seat belt use.

Fire Chief's Report:

Chief Theilacker

No report

Woodland Hills EMS Report:

Chief Mastandrea

No report

Solicitor's Report:

Mr. Korbelt

Mr. Korbelt noted:

- At June 15 meeting, two zoning ordinances will be considered including vehicle rental/storage and the regulation of self-storage facilities and public buildings permitted in the R2 district.
- Planning Commission meeting has been rescheduled for June 1 and will include considering the land development plan for the new borough building.
- Zoning Hearing Board meeting has been moved to June 6.

Wilkesburg Penn Joint Water Authority Report: Ms. Broz

Ms. Broz updated all on the authority activities including a report on a water break.

Public Comment – Agenda Items

At this time, citizens may address council on any issue appearing on today's agenda. Only residents and taxpayers of the Borough of Forest Hills will be permitted to address council. Comments on non-agenda items will be taken at the end of tonight's meeting. Speakers will be permitted to speak for a maximum of 5 minutes. Groups of people will be required to designate a spokesperson to represent them before council who may speak for a maximum of 10 minutes. Citizens are asked to use the microphone at the speaker's stand, clearly state their name and address for the record and keep comments brief and to the point. Please spell the name for the record.

Steve Mole -134 Fairfax Road – wants more speed enforcement on his street.

Committee Reports:

PUBLIC WORKS COMMITTEE

Ms. Sowiski

Motion: Move to add additional paving on Fairfax Road where DPW will remove traffic islands at a cost of \$2,940.00.

Moved: Ms. Sowiski

Second: Mr. Burleigh

Phyllis Guering, 167 Fairfax Road – Has lived here for 11 years and loves the street. They did not realize at the time that the street was a shortcut and speeding area. She has been grateful that the islands slowed down people on that street a bit. The removal of the islands has upset her and her neighbors greatly. They view it two ways – it's a loss of aesthetics but the bigger concern is safety. If removal of the islands is a done deal, you can't bring back the trees or islands but what can you do to reduce the speeding? She was told speed bumps were an issue for the snow plows. Fairfax could be made one-way. The street is very narrow with parking on one side of the street and people have made that their private parking areas.

Mr. Kiley

Roll Call Vote:	Mr. Burleigh	yes
	Ms. DeMarco	no
	Mr. Kiley	abstain
	Ms. Sowiski	yes
	Mr. Tomasic	yes

Mr. Erbedinger yes

Motion: Move to approve a Change Order to add two manholes to the Avenue F Sewer Project at a cost of \$12,000.

Moved: Ms. Sowiski
Second: Ms. DeMarco

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Burleigh yes
 Ms. DeMarco yes
 Mr. Kiley yes
 Ms. Sowiski yes
 Mr. Tomasic yes
 Mr. Erbedinger yes

General Report:

1. The borough engineer submitted the following report to the committee:

Public Works Committee

- A. Sewer Work near new Municipal Building Site
Work will be underway within the next two weeks. DPW and I are recommending the addition of two manholes to more easily access the lines for cleaning at the point the new municipal building will tie in. The cost of these total \$12,000. If the committee approves this change, I will prepare a change order for Council's consideration on May 25, 2016.
- B. Additional 2016 Sewer Work
We are in design phase for a storm pipe extension in the creek along Watt Lane walking trail and the replacement of an access manhole at the Ashley Court Stormwater Retention structure. The Watt Lane project requires a DEP Stream Enclosure Permit which is expected to take 6-9 months to receive.
- C. CD 41 Demolition
The contract to demolish 16 Kenmore Ave. has been awarded to A.W. McNabb Demolition for \$7,900.00. Work is scheduled to begin May 6, 2016.
- D. 2016 Street Paving
Council awarded this project at its April meeting. Work should be underway mid-June. DPW has suggested removing two of the traffic islands near Cascade. The lanes are narrow and the curbs are deteriorated from being hit too often. DPW would remove the islands and install the base stone and asphalt. The extra cost to pave these areas would be \$2,940.00. If the committee is in agreement with this proposal, I will prepare a change order to add the work for Council action May 25.

2. The committee reviewed the following update from 3 Rivers Wet Weather on sanitary/stormwater issues:



April 29, 2016

May 2016 Update:

Municipal managers and officials play a crucial role in protecting water quality in our communities. Taking a proactive approach to stormwater management can result in long-term savings by reducing the need for costly remediation, clean up and repair. In addition, better stormwater management ensures municipal compliance with MS4 requirements; and also improves water quality for community recreation, water supply, and wildlife habitat. Many tools are available to help you educate and engage your various audiences as required under the MS4 permits.

Here are a few links to help you get started:

<http://www.3riverswetweather.org/resources/educational-reference-materials>

http://www.dep.pa.gov/Business/Water/PointNonPointMgmt/StormwaterMgmt/Stormwater/Pages/MS4-Resources.aspx#.VyOAJ_krJ9M

<http://www.stormwaterpa.org/overview.html>

3. The foreman reported on tentative work planned for May (other than normal maintenance work and Chalfant work and depending on weather):

- Swimming pool deck repair
- Leaf compost site – turn leaves
- Clean and televise sanitary sewer – various locations
- Replace various signs
- Patch potholes with hot patch
- Cut and chip tree limbs – various alleys, parks & chipping program
- Rebuild various catch basins for paving program
- Street Sweeping
- Install merry-go-round in Main Park

4. In Committee of the Whole, the foreman and members discussed storage at the site behind the Forest Hills/Westinghouse Lodge area and potential changes to permit storage and truck access while preserving the area. Discussion will continue at future committee meetings.

FINANCE COMMITTEE

Ms. DeMarco

Motion: Move to approve payment of bills for the month of April in the following amounts:

General Fund Budget:	\$ 650,064.12
Corrective Action Budget:	\$ 4,989.85
Fire Protection fee	\$ 18,675.37
Liquid Fuels	\$ 7,825.10
Capital Improvements Plan	\$ 9,518.32
Budget Reserve	\$ 1,985.00
Bond Issue-Building	\$ 59,793.20
Dog Park	\$ 203.35

Moved: Ms. DeMarco
Second: Mr. Tomasic

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Burleigh yes
Ms. DeMarco yes
Mr. Kiley yes
Ms. Sowiski yes
Mr. Tomasic yes
Mr. Erbedinger yes

Detail of Non-General Fund Items:

Corrective Action Budget:	Engineering, supplies, flow monitoring
Capital Improvements Plan:	Equipment for new truck
Fire:	Utilities, insurance & hydrants
Liquid Fuels:	Salt
Budget Reserve:	Surveying-new building
Bond Issue:	Architect fees

General Report:

1. Committee members reviewed the contents of the monthly cash flow report.
2. The chair discussed options for financing solar panels at the new building. Ms. DeMarco is awaiting further information from the architect. The building committee should review and consider.
3. The manager distributed information re: the 2017 Capital Improvements Plan. Staff will begin reviewing projects and capital items for inclusion in the new plan beginning in January. Submissions will be presented to each committee with the finance committee reviewing recommendations in the fall.

4. The manager noted that the deed transfer tax would be at budget earlier than anticipated due to the sale of the Cost property to Oxford Insurance.

BOROUGH PROPERTY

Mr. Kiley

Motion: Motion to authorize the engineer to prepare and advertise for bids for storm and sanitary sewer lateral work in the parking lot at the borough building/fire hall area with funds to come from the Corrective Action Budget.

Moved: Mr. Kiley
Second: Mr. Burleigh

Note: see information in engineer's report below.

Mr. Tomasic asked what the total was. Mr. Gilliland stated its approximately \$20,000. Mr. Tomasic asked if we're going to spend \$20,000 to redo something that was done incorrectly when it was installed. Mr. Gilliland answered yes.

Voice Vote –

Mr. Burleigh	yes
Ms. DeMarco	yes
Mr. Kiley	yes
Ms. Sowiski	yes
Mr. Tomasic	yes
Mr. Erbeeldinger	yes

Motion: Move to accept the bid of Pitt RE, LLC and Forest Hills RE, LLC in the amount of \$550,000 for the purchase of Borough property located at 2065 and 2071 Ardmore Boulevard designated as Block and Lot Numbers 300-H-65 and 300-H-60 conditioned upon: (1) the Borough and the Purchaser executing a mutually agreeable Purchase and Sale Agreement; and (2) the Borough and the Purchaser executing a mutually agreeable Lease Agreement that permits the Borough to continue to occupy the property designated as Block and Lot number 300-H-60.

Moved: Mr. Kiley
Second: Mr. Tomasic

Mr. Kiley stated this is the first step in the borough selling the property. Sale of property is not completed by this motion but will simply allow the borough to negotiate the purchase and sale agreement with the proposed purchaser as well as a lease agreement that will allow the borough to stay in the building through the end of next year while the new building is being completed on Greensburg Pike. Once the

agreements are finalized, we will come back for another vote to approve both agreements as well as a resolution which will formally sell the property. We can't do it without a resolution per the borough code.

Mr. Erbeldinger stated the company who made the bid is a licensee of Dunkin Donuts. We believe they want to do a two-phase while we are still in this building. In the first phase they will knock down the magistrate's office and put in a Dunkin Donuts and, once we move out, they will knock down this building and have a little mini-mall with four spaces. One is Dunkin Donuts and three other places they can make arrangements for.

Mr. Kiley said that depending on how the site is constructed, that's what it will be. He believes they will be submitting land development plans over the course of the summer. That will come before council very soon as well.

Mr. Tomasic said this in no way affects the way we are supposed to approve based upon their bid at tonight's meeting on this specific date of their proposal. Mr. Kiley discussed it with them today by phone.

Pursuant to the bid documents, the borough staff is to be out of this building by December 31, 2017 and they are requesting liquidated damages if we're not out on time. That is similar to what we did to the county when we amended the lease at the magistrate's building. That's why we are making sure we are looking at the Land Development Plan for the new borough building. It'll be discussed at the Planning Committee meeting and presented to council on June 15.

Roll Call Vote:	Mr. Burleigh	yes
	Ms. DeMarco	yes
	Mr. Kiley	yes
	Ms. Sowiski	yes
	Mr. Tomasic	yes
	Mr. Erbeldinger	yes

General Report:

1. The Committee reviewed the following report from the borough engineer:

Borough Property

A. Swimming Pool

Work on the scum gutter replacement is underway with the demolition of the existing gutter. Work is anticipated to be completed in July, 2016 (additional in house work will follow).

Mr. Gilliland provided a report with photos on the status of the pool work showing the concrete problems and subsurface problems discovered in several areas as well as several areas of deteriorated pipes. Comments from council were as follows:

Mr. Tomasic is sure the code now requires that whenever you do plumbing work, a stainless steel clamp is required. This follows a pattern – the fire hall with how they connected the things and now we've got these clamps that are not following code. I just don't get it.

Mr. Tomasic asked why aren't the connectors plastic? Mr. Gilliland said they don't make plastic – they would have to be stainless steel.

Mr. Tomasic asked, "It's not like a sleeve?" Mr. Gilliland said it's a clamp. It clamps both pipes together and seals the joint. He's not aware they can be made of plastic. Mr. Gilliland never heard of plastic clamps. When was the scum gutter lined – in the 70's? Mr. Tomasic has no idea when the liners were installed. Mr. Gilliland doesn't think there were plastic clamps then and he isn't aware of plastic clamps now.

Mr. Gilliland showed a picture of the old scum gutter and the pool with scum gutter removed. You can see there's a shelf there that had sand in it and that is what was left when they took out the old scum gutter.

Mr. Gilliland showed a picture of an area where the wall deteriorated. There is a void behind the wall. This is an area where we think there was extensive leakage and it created a void behind the wall which has since been filled in with concrete being shot in there. If you remember, the pool has two floors. There was a floor added onto the original floor and the slab on top on the slanted portion on the left side. It deteriorated and once we took that off, we saw that the slab underneath was also deteriorated from the saw cut about two-thirds of the way down. We removed that area. This is the other side of the deep end. This is the other slab that was severely cracked and the entire slab was removed. The slab that was under that was in good condition.

The slab by the entrance was also cracked. We think this slab and the other slab were poured at the same time because you can see those two potholes there. What happened was that when we removed the slab that was cracked, part of the old slab had adhered to it and the old slab came out with it. The top portion is what was added. The bottom is where the pothole was. What we did here, at no extra cost, the contractor broke off the slab underneath. We didn't want to pour back onto that slab because any deteriorated areas would simply reflect back through it again. So they jackhammered the old pool in those areas to make that like a gravel base. Broke it into small pieces of concrete, compacted it and used it as a gravel base so that the crack in the deteriorated areas will not reflect through. Mr. Gilliland showed another picture of the same slab with the same deterioration. This was an additional slab that once we got everything drained and looked at more closely, this slab has some cracks in it and also on the red line there had a slight elevation difference where you could stub your toe. It's right at the entrance to the deeper part of the pool and felt that would be a hazard for stubbing your toe as well as we had hairline cracks in this slab. The contractor has stated that he is not asking for any extra money to do that additional slab.

Mr. Tomasic asked on the slab that was uneven, couldn't we have found a way to make that even? Mr. Gilliland replied that the slab was also cracked. Hair line cracks.

Mr. Gilliland said after we got the scum gutters removed we were able to access the two pipes that feed into the return from the filter to the pool. Once we got those removed, public works was able to get in there with a camera. It was discovered that these pipes were severely rusted and cracked and in need of replacement at an extra cost. Don't have a dollar figure on that but it is not to exceed \$30,000 – definitely less than that. The contractor has not put a price on it yet.

Mr. Lawrence asked who is responsible for pulling together the quote? Mr. Gilliland said the contractor is. Mr. Lawrence stated this has been on our radar for at least two weeks. Why don't we have the quote yet? Mr. Gilliland has been asking for it and the contractor doesn't have it yet. Mr. Erbedinger asked if they have to dig the whole thing out and was told that's already been done.

Mr. Gilliland showed a picture of where they were installing the new concrete. It was a corner of the pool at the deep end – another area of the wall that has deteriorated. What they actually did was pump the concrete in because the truck stayed up on the road and actually shot the concrete in under pressure so it filled all the voids behind the wall as well as filling the void where the wall was. Mr. Gilliland showed a picture of them pouring the concrete on one of the slabs in the floor. Actually was from two days ago. The concrete was already poured in the deep end slab and this is the slab that was raised a little and cracked. They were installing the concrete there and finishing it off.

Mr. Gilliland showed a picture of the hole where the two pipes were earlier. We actually had to remove one of the posts that support the balcony and part of the wall and dig out where those pipes were. They considered lining those pipes but because of the amount of rust, the lining would not adhere to it.

Finally, installing the new pipe where the old pipe was taken out. As an added bonus we found that the sanitary sewer runs in the opposite direction and the sewer line had a sag in it and that's why there were so many backup problems. Public Works is going to be replacing that sanitary sewer laterally across the trench to eliminate the low spot and backup in the building every year.

Mr. Tomasic asked about the third pipe – the drain line. We just had that all dug up. You're telling me that two of the pipes are so bad that we couldn't reline them. And we have a third pipe. I am assuming that all three of those pipes are the same age.

Mr. Gilliland stated for some reason the drain line was not in as bad condition as the others. In fact, it was not rusted at all on the inside and has some cracks about four feet from where it starts in the filter room. The cracks are under the filter room floor which can be repaired from the inside and won't have to be dug up from the outside like the other pipes. The pipe was televised from there through the drain in the bottom of the deep end. From there they could not go any further because it changes into a four inch pipe. That pipe was in good condition – Mr. Gilliland watched the video of it. There are no problems with that pipe. There is no reason to replace it.

Mr. Tomasic wants to know what we are spending on this. At least a quarter of a million dollars, right? And we have a third pipe that is the same age as the other two. We had that whole thing dug up but did not consider replacing that pipe.

Mr. Gilliland said the pipe is in good condition. There is no need to replace it. Mr. Erbeldinger said it would last another 30 years.

Mr. Tomasic asked: Who considered it? Was it made available to the committee? Did we discuss that third pipe in committee?

Mr. Gilliland said no that came up last week. It was not discussed at committee.

Mr. Erbeldinger asked approximately how long the third pipe would last. Mr. Gilliland said it should last at least 30 years. He didn't see any deterioration on the outside, still had the original coating on the inside. Mr. Gilliland believes this pipe is made of steel.

Mr. Tomasic asks why weren't we involved in this as a council in terms of making the final decision in terms of where we're going to go with this third pipe.

Mr. Erbeldinger said there are a bunch of little decisions to be made on a project like this.

Mr. Tomasic said no, no, no, the two pipes we're talking about replacing, they're additional monies, correct? Mr. Gilliland replied yes. So we don't have the amount of money yet but we put the two pipes in - we did not put the third pipe in - and we had the approval of the monies. Correct? Why are we doing it that way?

Mr. Gilliland does not know. He was asked for an opinion on whether he thought it needed to be replaced or not. He gave his opinion that the drain pipe did not need to be replaced but the other two did.

Mr. Tomasic indicated that that's Mr. Gilliland's opinion and that should be presented to council because it requires another \$30,000 or less expenditure. So, we're moving forward without approval of council. I'm saying why isn't it being approved by all of council before we move forward?

Mr. Erbeldinger stated that we have to replace those pipes. He's not sure what Mr. Tomasic is getting at.

Mr. Tomasic said we have an expenditure of \$30,000. **WE** (council) haven't approved it. We're doing it after they put it in.

Mr. Erbeldinger stated that we all agreed we have to get it fixed.

Mr. Tomasic thinks council should have been consulted. Are we at a point where we are interested in getting it done before its fixed? What are we trying to do here? I think a valid question would be if you're replacing two pipes that are 50 years old there should have been some discussion as to whether or not we should put the third pipe in

while we had everything dug up. Maybe I'm wrong. See those bands on there? They should have been stainless steel. They weren't. Who approved that? We have a \$21,000 sewer bill over here with the fire department because somebody put it in the wrong way.

Mr. Erbeldinger thinks that is the fault of the engineer we had at that time. He also said we have to trust the judgment of the professional people we hire and pay a lot of money to, to do their job. You can't micromanage.

Mr. Tomasic thinks that it's our responsibility as a council to make these decisions. As a group they should have been brought at least to a Committee of the Whole and put on the table and discussed before we moved ahead with an additional \$30,000. I'm not objecting to the \$30,000; what I'm objecting to is the fact that we didn't approve it and there could have been maybe a discussion as to whether or not the third pipe should be replaced as well and the consequences related to that.

Mr. Kiley said as far as his own decision-making process goes if they had brought this to the Committee as a Whole and he agrees that they should have been notified as soon as this was going on. Mr. Gilliland is operating on our behalf and to provide us with information about the situation. If in his opinion the third pipe did not need to be replaced, that's the judgment he would have trusted if this came to a vote. He would have said, "Dave, if you are confident it does not need to be replaced and it's good for another 25-30 years, that's good enough for me." He understands Mr. Tomasic's point – we should have been better informed – but the practical upshot of this is that the decisions that Mr. Gilliland made and the contractor made are the decisions he would have made.

Mr. Erbeldinger said we received an email about those pipes. We were informed. We could have jumped in and said don't do that but we did receive an email about the pipes. We were informed. We didn't get a chance to discuss it and vote on it but we did receive an email. Anyone could have stopped it at the time we got the email.

Mr. Tomasic stated the email didn't say if you object to this or don't think we should move forward and stop it.

Mr. Erbeldinger said because no one could have asked you that.

Mr. Tomasic stated I'm sorry for belaboring the point. I just think that we're moving ahead with something and I don't feel time is of the essence here yet I feel like it's being pushed in that direction without our consultation. We had the guy do a report on the stainless steel gutters and there was a \$4,000-5,000 difference in the bid. We elected to go with the lesser amount even though that guy was a professional we decided that his advice wasn't sound in terms of that process. I'm just concerned that this third pipe – there should have been more discussion on this – for example we never had any camera work done on these pipes. Nobody even suggested that we do this. I asked for reports all the way back in November/December and we had reports – these guys went down in scuba gear – went down, analyzed the cracks and all this other stuff. This

Jimmy guy he never said you know you've got a 50 year old system here and you better be checking on these pipes beneath the pool. And none was ever made.

Ms. DeMarco said that they did camera the pipe. Mr. Tomasic said after the fact. Mr. Gilliland said only after we had everything drained.

Mr. Tomasic thinks something is amiss here. I just don't feel comfortable in terms of how this process has been moving forward. That's my own opinion. I'm done.

Mr. Gilliland had a couple other points about the pool work. The fabrication of the stainless steel gutters will happen next week and after that, they will begin the installation. We're still looking at the middle of July for possible completion of the gutters. After that we would have to paint the pool and recaulk the joints. Contractor is going to give us an estimate on recaulking the joints as per the last committee meeting. One thing we found when the drain was inspected was that the four inch drain that drains from the base of the deep end up from there does not go to the sanitary sewer. It actually goes to a stream. So that is another item that will have to be corrected. That can be taken care of under a separate contract and I will bring that up at the next committee meeting.

It goes down towards the soccer field in the back of the soccer field where there's a trail that was contemplated from there up to Century Commons but that trail was abandoned and there's a sanitary sewer there that can be tied into. It's not going to be a hard fix. WE have to connect to the sewer now. This was not in effect when the pool was built. The DEP did not require it.

Mr. Erbeldinger asked if no one figured it out when we drained the pool.

Mr. Gilliland said it is a four inch pipe so it would drain slowly. The DEP directive that I researched states that in areas where a sanitary sewer is not available, you leave the pool go stagnant for two weeks to eliminate the chemicals and drain it. However, in areas where there is a sanitary sewer available, they prefer that it be tied into the sanitary sewer. I don't know if that sanitary sewer was there when the pool was built or that that regulation was there when the pool was built. But by televising the line and questioning where this goes after this it was discovered it is not connected to a sanitary sewer line.

Mr. Erbeldinger believes we paid the water bill and we also paid for sewage treatment that was going into a creek.

Mayor Porco had some random things popping into his head. I think if we are going to look at that work we also need to look at the capacity of the sanitary line to make sure it can handle the load.

Mr. Gilliland said it will because it will drain very slowly. It's a four-inch line that goes down there and it will not surcharge the line.

Mayor Porco asked so it won't surcharge the line and cause all kinds of headaches for us. I don't know why I'm feeling this way but I think this may become a project for us or public works but I guess that's to be discussed at a future meeting. Last thing I want to make a recommendation to council on – I think what we always fail to do – we need to put eyes on this project. Dedicated eyes. So whether we pull somebody from DPW or somebody from the office staff, we have to make a decision there. But these things have to be under eyes all the time. At the end of the day, while I think our contractor is doing his very best, he's doing this other contract which means he's not necessarily looking under – I don't want to accuse him of anything but he's first looking for himself to get the project done under the auspices of his agreement with us. We need someone who represents *OUR* interests with eyeballs on the project.

Mr. Gilliland said they are there. Public works is there every day. There is at least one member of public works there every day. He said public works has an employee there all day every day and he stops by once a day, too, just for questions and concerns about things that come up. So there are eyes on the project. Today there were three public works employees there when he was there.

Mayor Porco knows there are folks over there who have a more thorough understanding especially of the sewer line related work and we have just one guy dedicated to it so we don't have multiple people there.

Mr. Gilliland said we have one guy there that's very knowledgeable of the pool itself and he's there every day.

Mayor Porco said he understands all the plumbing nuances and everything else. That guy is who we typically go to for chemicals, not necessarily the hookups etc. so does he understand all that aspect of it? Maybe we should have someone dedicated from council to be involved in any kind of expenditures to at least eyeball it who acts as our advocate and that way we make sure we have . . . Whoever it is, these are just random thoughts and you guys can tell me to be quiet and go away and that's ok. I'm just giving you my personal opinion. But at the end of the day, I think it behooves us to have a set of eyes on it that represents our interest but most importantly on expenditures. We don't want to be retroactively approving work that wasn't approved. I think Bill's point is well taken – first you approve the expenditure then you execute against it.

Mr. Tomasic asked if it is legal to do it without – it's a \$30,000 job. I thought anything over \$18,000 had to be bid out. Isn't that the case? I'm serious. I just don't like what has happened from the very beginning with this project. It seems like we're just moving along because it has to get done as opposed to being sure it gets done right. People want it fixed, they want it done before its fixed. And that's not the right way to approach things, ladies and gentlemen. That's just my opinion I'm telling you I feel very uncomfortable and I've been here for 11 years and I've never had anything like this to deal with. Never! This is the first time in 11 years that I feel like something is happening and for me to be told, well you were aware of it so it's ok. That rubs me the wrong way. I'm sorry.

Mr. Erbedinger said from the beginning that we want to do it thoroughly. We don't want to cut any corners so if you saw those two pipes . . .

Mr. Tomasic said you're missing the point. It's not the replacement – it's the procedure. It's how it was done – the decision making process. Listen, when this guy first came here, I hate to belabor the point but he came in here. . .

Mr. Erbedinger said he gets the point.

Ms. Sowiski said the cost keeps going up. The cost is now \$290,000 and it was going to be less than \$200,000.

Ms. DeMarco said there is \$114,000 left on the contract based on what she saw on the contract bill this week.

Mr. Kiley asked if there is a legality issue as far as a change order exceeding \$18,000.

Change orders have to be approved by council.

B. Pool Caulking

This project will be advertised in May so that work can begin after the scum gutter project. Ecol o pack suggests that they may be able to handle the caulking and Mr. Gilliland will investigate and compare their quote with cost of work in past.

C. Fire Hall Sewers

Through dye testing we have discovered that the floor drains of the one story part of the fire hall are connected to the storm sewer instead of the sanitary sewer where they should be. We are working on a plan and cost estimate to correct this problem.

Mr. Gilliland discussed potential solution with the roof drains moved to rear of building and into storm sewer; reconnection remaining drains to sanitary. He provided estimates on repairs – totaling about \$20,750. Work would have to be bid. Vote on May 25 to authorize engineer to advertise for a bid for storm and sanitary sewer lateral work. Both the manager and engineer noted that funds for the work should come from the corrective action budget.

2. The borough announces that the following surplus and unusable computer equipment will be considered for disposal and is first available for sale to the highest bidder as required by borough resolution 1057:

ITEM	MAKER	MODEL (if available)
PC	Dell	Optiplex GX 240
PC	Dell	Optiplex 280 DHS
PC	Dell	Dimension 400
Monitor	Compaq	5720
Printer	HP	Deskjet 1220C
Backup Drive	APC	BP650S
Keyboard	Dell	
Mouse	Dell	
Keyboards - 4	Various	
PC	Dell	Dimension 3100
Monitor	Dell	Ultra Scan P780
Monitor	KDS	
Monitor	KDS	vs551
Monitor	Viewsonic	M70B
Mouse	Dell	

The equipment may be inspected at the borough office during normal office hours. Sealed bids for this equipment will be accepted at the borough office no later than 3 PM on Tuesday, June 14, 2016 with any bids received opened during the borough property portion of the June 15, 2016 business meeting of council. Such property will be transferred to the highest bidder upon receipt of the bid amount in cash or by certified check. This notice will be posted as required on the bulletin board outside the main entrance to the borough building.

PUBLIC SAFETY

Mr. Tomasic

Motion: Move to authorize the engineer to conduct a traffic study on Cascade Road between Fairfax and Bevington.

Moved: Mr. Tomasic
 Second: Mr. Kiley

Mr. Kiley asked what the purpose of this study is. Mr. Erbedinger explained that this traffic study was to determine a speed limit for Cascade Road. The current speed limit is 25 mph. The residents requested the speed limit be lowered to 15 mph.

Voice Vote:

Mr. Burleigh	yes
Ms. DeMarco	yes
Mr. Kiley	yes
Ms. Sowiski	yes
Mr. Tomasic	yes
Mr. Erbedinger	yes

Motion: Move to permit the manager to submit an application to Duquesne Light for their pilot LED street light program to convert lights along Ardmore Blvd. (both sides) from high pressure sodium to LED beginning at Bevington and proceeding eastbound with exact number of lights for conversion to be determined in committee.

Moved: Mr. Tomasic
Second: Mr. Burleigh

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Burleigh	yes
Ms. DeMarco	yes
Mr. Kiley	yes
Ms. Sowiski	yes
Mr. Tomasic	yes
Mr. Erbedinger	yes

Motion: Move to approve a change in wording to Civil Service Commission rules section 3.5 (a) and (b) to read as follows with all other sections remaining the same:

3.5 General Qualifications – All Applicants.

Every applicant for any position in the Forest Hills Borough Police Department must possess the following qualifications by the deadline for submitting a completed application:

- (a) A diploma from an accredited high school or a graduate equivalency diploma (GED).
- (b) Must have either: earned 60 credit hours of college-level study and possess at least 2,080 hours of experience as a sworn police officer; or, possess at least 10,400 hours of experience as a sworn police officer (the equivalence of five (5) years of experience as a police officer).

Moved: Mr. Tomasic
Second: Mr. Burleigh

Mr. Tomasic said that there have been discussions on how to get the best candidates for various positions. We had one in place similar to this about eight years ago. This comes from the Civil Service Commission who analyzes this and then presents it. It was changed and now we're going back to the original version. Experience now applies to their qualifications as well as college credits.

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Burleigh yes
 Ms. DeMarco yes
 Mr. Kiley yes
 Ms. Sowiski yes
 Mr. Tomasic yes
 Mr. Erbedinger yes

General Report:

1. The committee is reviewing bills submitted by the volunteer fire department for potential reimbursement from the fire protection services fee budget.
2. Members are reviewing placement of security cameras at the fire department building.

OPERATIONS & POLICY

Mr. Tomasic

Motion: Move to approve the minutes from the April 20, 2016 council meeting.

Moved: Mr. Tomasic
Second: Mr. Kiley

Voice Vote: Mr. Burleigh yes
 Ms. DeMarco yes
 Mr. Kiley yes
 Ms. Sowiski yes
 Mr. Tomasic yes
 Mr. Erbedinger yes

Motion: Move to appoint Richard Price, resident, to a seat on the Neighborhood Crime Resistance Committee with term to expire on Feb. 28, 2018.

Moved: Mr. Tomasic
Second: Ms. DeMarco

Roll Call Vote: Mr. Burleigh yes
 Ms. DeMarco yes
 Mr. Kiley yes
 Ms. Sowiski yes
 Mr. Tomasic yes
 Mr. Erbedinger yes

Motion: Move to approve the April 25, 2016 proposal submitted by General Code to transition from Keystate Publishing for codification services including supplementation services at a cost of \$1,747, eCode360 module at a cost of

\$200 and eCode360 annual maintenance beginning January 1, 2017 at a cost of \$995.

Moved: Mr. Tomasic
Second: Ms. Sowiski

Ms. DeMarco asked when the code would be available and was told it is available now. Mr. Morus had the IT technician upload it today. The reason Forest Hills changed from Keystone to General Code because Keystone is no longer in business.

Roll Call Vote:

Mr. Burleigh	yes
Ms. DeMarco	yes
Mr. Kiley	yes
Ms. Sowiski	yes
Mr. Tomasic	yes
Mr. Erbedinger	yes

General Report:

1. The borough continues to seek volunteers for the 2019 Centennial Committee. A separate committee is important for planning, organization and fund raising purposes. Please contact the office if interested. The centennial celebration tentatively will coincide with the 2019 Community Day event.
2. The borough continues to seek volunteers to serve on various boards and committees and asks interested residents to contact the manager at the borough office for further information. A number of vacancies remain including several on the Environmental Advisory Council.
3. The committee is reviewing a number of items including a stormwater training manual developed by the borough engineer, codification companies to replace Keystate Publishing, procedures for advertising legal notices, use of email and membership on the Environmental Advisory Council.
4. The committee chair continues to review the borough's trash/recycling contract in anticipation of asking the solicitor to prepare and advertise for bids for a new contract beginning in January 2017.
5. Members noted the retirement of borough arborist, Ted Gilbert, and all endorse the proclamation made tonight honoring him as well as the staff and Tree and Shrub Committee proposals to have a tree planted at the new borough building in his honor with accompanying plaques.

PLANNING & ZONING

Mr. Burleigh

General Report:

1. Members were updated on activities by code officer Don Branzel.
2. The manager reported that the letter to Danielle Leith re: membership as an alternate on the Zoning Hearing Board would be withdrawn as lack of communication with her was due to misinformation in the office and not her fault. She will remain as an alternate now that contact information has been corrected.
3. The solicitor noted that Harlan Stone will attend the June committee meeting to review progress on the update to the Zoning Ordinance.

Old Business:

Mr. Tomasic was made aware of the situation involving the pipes and voiced his concern about it to Jimmy Theilacker, Bill Dalzell, and Mr. Morus. He called Mr. Gilliland and told him he has some problems with what we are doing so he doesn't know how this works, but from his position, it's the wrong way that it went through.

Mr. Erbeldinger thinks Mr. Tomasic is right. He thinks maybe something went wrong. He's not sure that will change anything.

Mr. Tomasic did not know that that was how the process was working – that we were moving ahead with spending up to \$30,000 without having a meeting to approve it. His concerns are his concerns. But that wasn't stopping anything and he wasn't told that we should be analyzing this and stopping this or holding back on the reins.

New Business:

Public Comment – Other Items

At this time citizens may address council on any issue. Only residents and taxpayers of the Borough of Forest Hills will be permitted to address council. Speakers will be permitted to speak for a maximum of 5 minutes. Groups of people will be required to designate a spokesperson to represent them before council who may speak for a maximum of 10 minutes. Citizens are asked to use the microphone at the speaker's stand, clearly state their name and address for the record and keep comments brief and to the point. Please spell the name for the record.

Adjourn: Motion to adjourn or adjourn by acclamation

Moved: Mr. Kiley
Second: Mr. Burleigh

Voice Vote: Mr. Burleigh yes
Ms. DeMarco yes
Mr. Kiley yes
Ms. Sowiski yes
Mr. Tomasic yes
Mr. Erbedinger yes

- Next Scheduled Council Meeting: June 15, 2016 beginning at 7 PM
- Next Scheduled Committee Meetings (order may be adjusted): Thursday, June 2, 2016: Borough Property, Public Works, Operations and Policy, Public Safety.
Tuesday, June 7, 2016: Committee of the Whole, Finance, Planning and Zoning.
Council may choose to meet as a whole and conduct business if necessary on either or both dates. Meetings begin at 7 PM.